

# Respondent Information

## 1. Submitting organisation/company (please select the country of location):

COUNTRY

Croatia-HR

## 2. Contact details:

Organization/Company

Accumular Ltd

Address

Listopadska 3/1

City

HR-10110 Zagreb

Name

Silvija Petković

Title

Waste Management Expert

Telephone

+385 91 5077095

E-mail

sp@accumular.hr

## 3. I.1 The notification procedure includes a number of procedural stages. Which, if any, of these stages should involve an electronic approach? Please tick each box that is relevant.

\* Stage 1: The exporter/generator/State of export of the wastes informs the Competent Authority of the State of export of a proposed transboundary movement of hazardous or other wastes. \*

\* Stage 2: The Competent Authority of the State of export has no objection to the export and informs the exporter/generator/State of export thereof. \*

\* Stage 3: The notification document is completed and transmitted to the Competent Authority of the States concerned (State of import/transit). \*

\* Stage 4: On receipt of the notification document, the Competent Authority of the State of import/transit provide its written consent (with or without conditions) or denial (after asking for further clarification, if necessary). \*

\* Stage 5: Once the relevant Competent Authorities have established that all the requirements of the Convention have been met and have agreed to the movement, the Competent Authority of the State of export can proceed with the issuance of the movement document and authorize the shipment to start. \*

Other stages (please specify)

Not answered

## 4. I.2 If you have ticked any of the above boxes, please explain why you consider an

## **electronic approach would be helpful.**

Some countries still use traditional way, i.e. post service, as a way of informing The Exporter about, for example, missing documents in order to complete notification. Depending on the country, it could take from several days to several weeks to receive that order, and that is why an electronic approach would be helpful - it would significantly shorten the time between transmitting and receiving processed documents.

### **5. II.1 The movement and disposal procedures include a number of stages . Which, if any, of these stages should involve an electronic approach? Please tick each box that is relevant.**

Stage 1: The movement document, which contains important information, accompanies the wastes and is signed by each person taking charge of it.

**\* Stage 2: The exporter and Competent Authority of the State of export receive confirmation that the wastes moved across borders have been received and disposed of by the disposer as planned and in an environmentally sound manner. \***

**\* Stage 3: The Competent Authority of the State of export that has not received the confirmation that disposal has been completed informs the Competent Authority of the State of import accordingly. \***

Other stages (please specify)

Not answered

### **6. III.1 Have you already used electronic approaches to any of the following waste movement processes? Please tick the ones that apply.**

Contract between waste exporter and disposer

Notification of proposed movement

Response to notification of proposed movement

Issuance of movement document

Tracking/signature of movement document

National movements of waste following transboundary movement

**\* Notification of reception of wastes \***

**\* Notification of confirmation of disposal \***

Information that no confirmation of disposal was received

Other (specify)

Not answered

### **7. III.1.a For the processes selected, is there an electronic information system to support these processes?**

Yes

**\* No \***

### **8. III.2 If you already have an electronic approach, name the most significant benefits that approach brings.**

As it was written before, an electronic approach significantly shortens all processes concerning waste movement (from contract between waste exporter and disposer/waste producer to the notification of reception/disposal of wastes).

**9. III.3 Please describe any best practices you have encountered with respect to electronic approaches to transboundary movements of hazardous and other wastes.**

Best practice we have encountered with respect to electronic approaches to transboundary movements of hazardous and other wastes is a 3-weeks-time needed for processing notification. It took 3 weeks from the beginning of a notification procedure to its end. That whole procedure involved competent authority of export processing the documents and transmitting them to other competent authorities of transit/import, and competent authority of import to issue their consent within few days after receiving documents.

**10. III.4 If you already have used an electronic approach, name the most significant challenges you face when implementing that approach.**

The most significant challenge we have faced so far when implementing an electronic approach is a different approach of competent authorities to the electronic approach.

**11. III.5 Have you carried out any studies on possible electronic approaches? If possible, please provide us with a brief summary of the findings, or a copy thereof.**

/

Attach a copy (optional):

No file uploaded

**12. IV.1 Do you think there is a need for an initiative to provide for electronic data approaches to notification and movement, which would be available to all Parties to the Basel Convention (a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements)?**

**\* Yes \***

If so, why?

It depends on which electronic data would be available to all Parties.

No

If so, why?

Not answered

**13. IV.2 In your opinion, which of the listed processes should be supported by a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements? Please tick the relevant box.**

**\* Contract between waste exporter and disposer \***

**\* Notification of proposed movement \***

**\* Response to notification of proposed movement \***

**\* Issuance of movement document \***

Tracking/signature of movement document  
National movements of waste following transboundary movement  
**\* Notification of reception of wastes \***  
**\* Notification of confirmation of disposal \***  
Information that no confirmation of disposal was received  
Other (specify)

Not answered

**14. IV.3 Which, if any, of the alternatives listed below for a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements would be your preference? Please, tick the relevant box and explain your answer.**

A central system managed by the Secretariat and accessible by all Parties and other stakeholders  
Not answered

**\* A decentralised system, where each Party would have its own system, which could communicate with other systems using standardized messages \***

It is either central system or decentralised system. Both options offer all Parties involved being networked and able to communicate with each other.

An intermediate system, with some Parties having their own systems and others not, with the Secretariat playing the role of the centralized repository of the notifications.  
Not answered

Other (please specify)  
Not answered

**15. IV.4 If you would favour a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements, what would you expect the main benefits to be?**

As mentioned earlier, the main benefit is a shortened time of whole notification procedure.

**16. IV.5 If you would not favour a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements, what would you expect the main challenges to be?**

We favour a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements.

**17. V.1 What shipments/operations concern you most? Please tick the relevant box and where possible, please provide the number of the yearly shipments/operations associated with a transboundary movement.**

|                                    | Shipments/operations                | Number of shipments per year / Size of operation (in tonnes) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Waste shipments within your region | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Not answered   |
| Waste shipments across regions     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 40   |
| Waste generation                   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Not answered   |
| Exports of waste                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 40   |
| Imports of waste                   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Not answered   |
| Disposal of waste                  | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Not answered   |
| Other                              | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Not answered   |

**18. If other , please specify**

Not answered

**19. V.2 Please add any additional information or comments pertaining to an electronic approach that is not included in answers to the above questions and could improve the implementation of the Basel Convention control procedure.**

Not answered