

ICC Electronic data approaches – Finland

ASP.net's user name

BCFP_FI

Submitted on

3/31/2016 2:14:49 PM

Language

System language

1. I.1 Is the notification document available in electronic form in your country?

*** Yes ***

No

2. I.2 Can the notification document be completed electronically in your country?

*** Yes ***

No

3. I.3 Are notification documents usually completed electronically in your country?

*** Yes ***

No

Other (please specify)

Not answered

4. I.4 How are the notification documents signed by the State of export, exporter or generator in your country?

*** By a manual signature ***

By a digital signature

In another way (in which case please describe)

Not answered

5. I.5 How are notification documents by the State of export, generator or exporter stored in your country?

*** In paper form ***

In electronic form (eg. database)

In another form (in which case please describe)

Not answered

6. I.6 How are notification documents by the State of export, generator or exporter transmitted to the State of import/transit? Please review the two options below and tick all boxes that apply.

I.6.1. If in your country the notification is transmitted by the Competent Authority of the State of export, this notification is transmitted by:

*** Post ***

Fax

Email

In electronic form (eg. through shared database)

In another form (in which case please describe)

Not answered

Not applicable

I.6.2. If in your country the notification is transmitted by the generator or exporter, this notification is transmitted by:

Post
Fax
Email
In electronic form (eg. through shared database)
In another form (in which case please describe)
Not answered

not applicable

7. I.7 As State of export, how does the Competent Authority in your country sign notification documents to be transmitted to the State of import/transit?

*** Manually ***

Digitally
In another way (in which case please describe)

Not answered

8. I.8 As State of import/transit, how does the Competent Authority in your country process (receive, store, respond to) notification documents received through the Competent Authority of the State of export?

*** Manually ***

Digitally
In another way (in which case please describe)

Not answered

9. I.9 The notification procedure includes a number of procedural stages that engage a Competent Authority. Which, if any, of these stages should involve an electronic approach? Please tick each box that you think is relevant.

*** Stage 1: The exporter/generator/State of export of the wastes informs the Competent Authority of the State of export of a proposed transboundary movement of hazardous or other wastes and submits all supporting documents, including the notification document. ***

Stage 2: The Competent Authority of the State of export has no objection to the export and informs the exporter/generator/State of export thereof (in some Parties, this does not take place at this time).

*** Stage 3: The notification document is transmitted to the Competent Authority of the States concerned (State of import/transit). ***

*** Stage 4: On receipt of the notification document, the Competent Authority of the State of import/transit provide its written consent (with or without conditions) or denial (after asking for further clarification, if necessary). ***

*** Stage 5: Once the relevant Competent Authorities have established that all the requirements of the Convention have been met and have agreed to the movement, the Competent Authority of the State of export can proceed with the issuance of the movement document and authorize the shipment to start. ***

Other stages (please specify)

Not answered

If you have ticked any of the above boxes, please explain why you consider an electronic approach would be helpful.
Not answered

10. II.1 Is the movement document available in electronic form in your country?

*** Yes ***

No

11. II.2 Can the movement document be completed electronically in your country?

*** Yes ***

No

12. II.3 Is the movement document usually completed electronically in your country?

*** Yes ***

No

13. II.4 In your country, how do the movement documents become available to each person who takes charge of a transboundary movement of hazardous wastes or other wastes ? Please, tick all that apply.

*** Original ***

Copy

Fax

Email

In electronic form

In another form (in which case please describe)

14. II.5 In your country, how are the movement documents signed and stamped ?

*** Manually ***

Digitally

In another way (in which case please describe)

15. II.6 As State of export, how do you receive confirmation from the disposer that waste has been received and disposed of as planned and in an environmentally sound manner? Please, tick all that apply.

Post

*** Fax ***

*** Email ***

In electronic form

In another form (in which case please describe)

16. II.7 As State of import, how are you informed by the State of export that confirmation of reception and disposal has not been received from the disposer? Please, tick all that apply.

Post

Fax

*** Email ***

In electronic form

In another form (in which case please describe)

17. II.8 The movement and disposal procedures include a number of stages . Which, if any, of these stages should involve an electronic approach? Please tick each box that is relevant.

*** Stage 1: The movement document, which contains important information, accompanies the wastes and is signed by each person taking charge of it. ***

*** Stage 2: The exporter and Competent Authority of the State of export receive confirmation that the wastes moved across borders have been received and disposed of by the disposer as planned and in an environmentally sound manner. ***

Stage 3: The Competent Authority of the State of export that has not received the confirmation that disposal has been completed informs the Competent Authority of the State of import accordingly.

Other stages (please specify)

Not answered

18. III.1 In your country, are there electronic approaches to any of the following waste movement processes? Please tick the ones that apply.

Contract between waste exporter and disposer

Notification of proposed movement

Response to notification of proposed movement

*** Issuance of movement document ***

Tracking/signature of movement document

National movements of waste following transboundary movement
Notification of reception of wastes
Notification of confirmation of disposal
Information that no confirmation of disposal was received
Other (specify)

Not answered

19. III.2 If you already have an electronic approach, name the most significant challenges you face when implementing that approach.

Not answered

20. III.3 If you already have an electronic approach, name the most significant benefits that approach brings.

Not answered

21. III.4 Have you carried out any studies on possible electronic approaches? If possible, please provide us with a brief summary of the findings, or a copy thereof.

Finland is going to join the "Nordic TFS portal" in year 2106. The portal is already in use in Sweden.

<http://www.wasteshipment.eu>

Attach a copy:
No file uploaded

22. III.5 What are the most important practical challenges you face with respect to the movement of waste?

Not answered

23. IV.1 Do you think there is a need for an initiative to provide for electronic data approaches to notification and movement, which would be available to all Parties to the Basel Convention (a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements)?

*** Yes ***

If so, why?

see the EU answers

No
If so, why?
Not answered

24. IV.2 In your opinion, which of the listed processes should be supported by a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements? Please tick the relevant box.

Contract between waste exporter and disposer
Notification of proposed movement
Response to notification of proposed movement
Issuance of movement document
Tracking/signature of movement document
National movements of waste following transboundary movement
Notification of reception of wastes
Notification of confirmation of disposal

Information that no confirmation of disposal was received

Other (specify)

Not answered

25. IV.3 Which, if any, of the alternatives listed below for a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements would be your preference? Please, tick the relevant box and explain your answer.

A central system managed by the Secretariat and accessible by all Parties and other stakeholders

Not answered

A decentralised system, where each Party would have its own system, which could communicate with other systems using standardized messages

Not answered

An intermediate system, with some Parties having their own systems and others not, with the Secretariat playing the role of the centralized repository of the notifications

Not answered

Other (please specify)

Not answered

26. IV.4 If you would favour a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements, what would you expect the main benefits to be?

Not answered

27. IV.5 If you would not favour a Basel Convention electronic system for transboundary movements, what would you expect the main challenges to be?

Not answered

28. V.1 Please add any additional information or comments pertaining to an electronic data approach that is not included in answers to the above questions and could improve the implementation of the Basel Convention control procedure.

Not answered

29. V.2 Please list the stakeholders within your jurisdiction to whom you have sent the questionnaire for stakeholders available at <http://fs.pops.int/icc-electronic-approaches-stakeholders>. You may provide the information in the box below or attach a list.

Name of stakeholder:

Not answered

Contact person:

Not answered

Address:

Not answered

E-mail:

Not answered

Name of stakeholder:

Not answered

Contact person:
Not answered

Address:
Not answered

Email:
Not answered

Name of stakeholder:
Not answered

Contact person:
Not answered

Address:
Not answered

Email:
Not answered

Attach a list:
No file uploaded

30. Submitting Party:

Party:
Finland

31. Contact details of the person who completed the questionnaire:

Name:
Hannele Nikander

Title:
Senior Adviser

Address:
Not answered

Telephone:
+358400148705

Fax:
Not answered

E-mail:
hannele.nikander@ymparisto.fi