

Concept paper by Canada – State of Palestine

ASP.net's user name

TECH_PS

Submitted on

10/27/2016 12:21:39 PM

1. Question 1: Do you agree with the above objectives?

*** Yes ***

No

Please elaborate:

Not answered

2. Question 2: Should there be any other objectives for this review?

Yes

*** No ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

3. Other comments:

Not answered

4. Question 3: What would be the most effective mechanism to conduct this work?

Please elaborate:

i think the process mentioned in no 9 represent the most effective way to review and elaborate some miss understandings and to add, omit, categories and to modify concepts according to the countries experiences in this field

5. Question 4: Do you think that a working group post COP-13 should be established?

*** Yes ***

No

If yes should COP-13:

4a. Establish a new working group?

Yes

No

4b. Mandate an existing group to undertake the work?

Yes

No

4c. Mandate the OEWG to undertake the work?

*** Yes ***

No

Other (Please elaborate):

Not answered

6. Question 5: If a working group is to be mandated by COP-13 to undertake the work:

5a. Do you have specific views on the mandate of the group, bearing in mind your views on the objective of the review (questions 1 and 2 above)?

Yes

*** No ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

5b. Should the COP-13 adopt a workplan for the group?

*** Yes ***

No

5c. Should the COP-13 adopt terms of reference for the group?

*** Yes ***

No

5d. Should the COP-13 identify the level of priority of this work within the Open-ended Working Group programme of work?

*** Yes ***

No

If yes, what level of priority should be given for this work?

High

*** Medium ***

Low

Please elaborate:

Not answered

7. Question 6: Should the review of the Annexes be funded through the Convention's core budget (i.e. assessed contributions)?

*** Yes ***

No

Please elaborate:

Not answered

8. Question 7: Should the review of the Annexes be based on voluntary funding available?

Yes

*** No ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

9. Question 8: If a working group is to be mandated to undertake the work, COP-13 will need to decide on its composition. Please review the various options below and indicate your preferences:

Open-ended

Limited size

Composed of representatives of Parties and observers

*** Composed of representatives of Parties and open to observers' contribution ***

10. Question 9: What information should be used beyond the documentation referred to in paragraph 2 above to conduct the review of the Annexes?

Please elaborate:

it is very important to review the annual country reports to get an idea about the new categories of hazardous waste in these countries and to know well the best disposal procedures.

11. Question 10: Some Parties suggested that two studies be conducted to facilitate the review of the Annexes namely:

1) a study analysing existing legislation of Parties relevant to Annexes I, III, IV and IX; and

2) a study on the use of disposal operations in practice:

10a. Do you think it would be useful to conduct these studies?

*** Yes ***

No

10b. Do you have any other suggestions on preliminary work that could facilitate the review of the annexes?

Please elaborate:

Not answered

12. Other comments:

Not answered

13. Question 11: Do you think Annex IV should be reviewed to:

11a. Update the list of operations listed in Annex IV A?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

11b. Update the list of operations listed in Annex IV B, for instance by including some recovery operations as they occur in practice?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

11c. Review the description of the disposal operations?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

14. Question 12: Do you think a clearer distinction between Annex IV.A and B operations is needed?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

15. Question 13: Should the caption text be simplified and changed to "final disposal operations"?

Yes

*** No ***

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

16. Question 14: Should the caption text be reviewed in relation to the term "direct re-use"?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure:

Please elaborate:

Not answered

17. Question 15: Should the caption text be simplified and changed to "recovery operations"?

Yes

*** No ***

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

18. Question 16: Should the caption text be reviewed in relation to the term "direct re-use"?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:
Not answered

19. Question 17: Should there be changes to the introductory text?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

20. Question 18: Should there be changes to the introductory text?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

21. Question 19: Are there operations missing, which need to be included?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

22. Question 24: Are there operations missing which need to be included?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

23. Question 20: Are there operations which should be deleted?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

24. Question 25: Are there operations which should be deleted?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

25. Question 21: Should the description of certain disposal operations be updated?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

26. Question 26: Should the description of certain disposal operations be updated?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

i think R13 and R12 are needing more elaboration

27. Question 22: Do you see conflicting text?

Yes

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

28. Question 27: Should the description of operation R9 be reviewed in relation to the term "reuses"?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

29. Question 23: Are there terms that would benefit from a definition?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Not answered

30. Question 28: Do you see conflicting text?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

31. Question 29: Are there terms that would benefit from a definition?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

32. Other comments:

Not answered

33. Question 30: Should the text be reviewed in relation to the term "direct reuse"?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

34. Question 31: Should footnotes 20 and 21 be reviewed in relation to the term "reuse and direct re-use"?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

35. Other comments:

Not answered

36. Question 32: Are there waste streams missing that need to be included?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

37. Question 33: Are there waste streams which should be deleted?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

38. Question 34: Should the description of certain waste streams be updated?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

39. Question 35: Do you see conflicting text?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

40. Other comments:

Not answered

41. Question 36: Are there characteristics missing that need to be included?

Yes

No

*** Unsure ***

Please elaborate:

Not answered

42. Question 37: Should the description of certain hazardous characteristics be updated to be in line with the United Nations Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)?

*** Yes ***

No

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

43. Question 38: Do you see conflicting text?

Yes

*** No ***

Unsure

Please elaborate:

Not answered

44. Other comments:

Not answered

45. Submitting Party:

Country:

State of Palestine

46. Identification of the respondent:

Organization:

Environment Quality Authority

Address:

Al-Quds street

Contact person:

Mr.Yaser Abu shanab

E-mail:

yaser_shanab@hotmail.com