Submitted on **11/10/2015 2:54:28 PM**

1. Please specify the type of organization that you represent:

Academia
Business and industry
*** Government / Regional Economic Integration ***
IGOs
NGOs
Unions
Regional centre
Other

2. Please specify your contact information:

Gender
2

First name
Ledjana

Last name
Karalliu

Organization name
Ministry of Environment

Country
AL

Job title
Head of Waste Sector

Email
Lediana.Karalliu@moe.gov.al

Phone number
+355 69 41 12 110

3. Please specify the region in which your country is located

Africa
Asia-Pacific
*** Eastern Europe ***
Latin American and Caribbean
Western European and Others

4. Are you a designated Basel, Rotterdam or Stockholm convention contact point (Basel Focal Point, Basel Competent Authority, Rotterdam Official Contact Point, Rotterdam

Designated National Authority, Stockholm Official Contact Point or Stockholm National Focal Point)?

*** Yes ***
No

5. Is your organisation accredited with the Basel, Rotterdam or Stockholm Conventions?

Yes
No

6. Mission: Please choose the option that you believe is more appropriate in relation to the mission statement outlined in paragraph 14:

*** Acceptable ***
Could be improved
Not acceptable
No opinion

7. Please provide any comments that you may have in relation to the mission statement:

I have no comments relating to the paragraph 14.

8. Vision: Please choose the option that you believe is more appropriate in relation to the vision statement outlined in paragraphs 15, 16 and 17:

*** Acceptable ***
Could be improved
Not acceptable
No opinion

9. Please provide any comments that you may have in relation to the vision statement:

As regards the paragraphs 15,16,17 I would like to add, that to share a quality information needs capable employees(which are trained for their work, needs collaboration between central institutions and institutions under them.

10. Definition: Please choose the option that you believe is more appropriate in relation to the definition statement outlined in paragraph 69:

*** Acceptable ***
Could be improved
Not acceptable
No opinion

11. Please provide any comments that you may have in relation to the definition statement:

I have no comment regarding the paragraph 69.

12. The information scope of the joint clearing-house mechanism should include:

*** Scientific information on health and environmental impacts ***
*** Information on socio-economic impacts ***
*** Legislative and regulatory information ***
*** Information on technical and financial assistance ***
Information on technology transfer
*** Information on best practices and experiences ***
*** Information on status of implementation of the Conventions ***
Other, please specify:

13. Please specify which of the following tools and services should be provided by the joint clearing-house mechanism for information exchange:

*** Conventions' websites ***
Common websites and documentation centres at the national and regional levels*
Systems of information exchange**
*** Databases ***
Online collaboration tools
*** Online reporting ***
Online questionnaires
Mobile applications
Social media tools
Offline media (CD-ROMs, flash drives and others)
Paper based
Other, please specify:
Via email of focal points of any country and then the focal points share with the other stakeholders of his/her country.

14. The joint clearing-house mechanism community membership should be:

Open-ended
*** Selective based on criteria ***
No opinion
Other, please specify:

15. Please specify which of the following stakeholders groups should be considered as part of the joint clearing-house mechanism and which role they should play:

	Information provider	Information user	Not part of the community	No opinion

Parties to the Convention(s)	**[X]**	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
States non-parties to the Conventions	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	**[X]**
Local authorities	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
Environmental non-governmental organizations	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Industry and private sector associations	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	**[X]**
United Nations bodies and specialized agencies	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Funding agencies and mechanisms and other donors	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Researchers, universities and related initiatives	**[X]**	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Regional centres	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Workers' unions	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	**[X]**

16. Other, please specify:

Not answered

17. How the Secretariat should collect information exchange needs and priorities?

*** Conference of the Parties ***
Analysis of performance indicators
Surveys
*** Regional centres consultation ***
Existing needs assessments processes (such as technical assistance or financial assistance needs)
*** Workshops ***
Other, please specify:

18. How often the Secretariat should revise information exchange needs and priorities?

*** Every year ***
Every two years
Every four years
Other, please specify:

19. How the evaluation of the progress made in the implementation of the joint clearing-

house mechanism should be conducted?

*** Analysis of performance indicators ***

Surveys

*** Feedback during workshops ***

Other, please specify:

20. Which of the performance indicators outlined in the appendix to the draft strategy and listed below are more effective for evaluating the joint clearing-house mechanism?

	Very effective	Less effective	No opinion
Number of Information sources	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Percentage of information gaps addressed	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
User perception of value of information to meet the goals of the conventions	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of times tools have been accessed	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of tools developed	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of times tools have been accessed per user group	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of times tools have been accessed per region	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of retrieved or distributed documents per media	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of documents	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Average number of retrieved documents per user	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of retrieved documents per user group	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of retrieved documents per region	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of documents contributed	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of documents contributed per user group	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of documents contributed per region	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of times services have been used	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of times services have been used per user group	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of times services have been used per region	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
User perception of quality of	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]

documents			
User perception of Quality of Services	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Services performing according to predefined targets	[X]	[ ]	[ ]
User perception of user friendliness of the Tools	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
User perception of quality of information	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
User perception of speed in locating information	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
User perception of speed in information delivery (Excluding websites)	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
User perception of speed and facility for contributing and sharing information	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Number of Services	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Percentage of uptime of servers	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Number of system failures	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Average number of reported bugs per tool	[X]	[ ]	[ ]
Number of requests and searches for information	[X]	[ ]	[ ]
Percentage of successful searches	[X]	[ ]	[ ]
Number of requests for information integration	[X]	[ ]	[ ]
Number of requests for information integration addressed	[X]	[ ]	[ ]
User perception of helpfulness of CHM for integrating information	[X]	[ ]	[ ]
Number of information packages	[X]	[ ]	[ ]
Number of website pages	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Number of institutions per user group	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Number of user groups	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Number of network members	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Number of network members by user group	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Number of network members by region	[ ]	[X]	[ ]
Number of information needs and priorities submitted	[X]	[ ]	[ ]

Percentage of network members contributing	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Percentage of network members contributing by user group	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Percentage of network members contributing by region	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of available experts per region	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of sound measures, experiences and case studies contributed	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of joint contributions	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of promotional events	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of different users using the system	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of people receiving promotional materials	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of promotional materials	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of unique visits to website	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of partners	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of funding institutions	[ ]	**[X]**	[ ]
Number of potential collaboration agreements	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]
Number of confirmed collaboration agreements	**[X]**	[ ]	[ ]

21. Other, please specify:

Not answered

22. Please provide any other comments that you may have in relation to the monitoring and evaluation:

I have no comments

23. Is the first goal, listed above and in section IV.A of the draft strategy, a sound/adequate goal?

*** Sound ***
Could be improved
Not sound
No opinion

24. Do you have any other comments on the first goal, listed above and outlined in section IV.A of the draft strategy?

no comments

25. Is the second goal, listed above and in section IV.B of the draft strategy, a sound/adequate goal?

*** Sound ***
Could be improved
Not sound
No opinion

26. Do you have any other comments on the second goal, listed above and outlined in section IV.B of the draft strategy?

no comments

27. Please indicate if you think that the strategy should focus on other goal and, if so, kindly describe those other goals.

I think that the strategy is ok and don't focus on the other goal.

28. Are the implementation approaches outlined in sections IV.A.2 to 5 and IV.B.2 to 5 of the draft strategy, sound/adequate approaches?

*** Sound ***
Could be improved
Not sound
No opinion

29. Please provide any other comments that you may have in relation to the proposed approaches to implement the goals:

no comments

30. Please provide any other comments that you may have on the draft joint clearing-house mechanism strategy

I read carefully the draft and i agree with it.